

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/903,390 | Applicant(s) FURBUSH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner NARAYANSWAMY SUBRAMANIAN | Art Unit 3695 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NARAYANSWAMY SUBRAMANIAN. (3) ____.

(2) John R. Lastova (Reg. No. 33,149). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☒ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner Subramanian indicated that bringing in the limitations of either claim 9 or claim 10 into the independent claims would move the Application closer to allowance. Some 112, second issues w.r.t claim 1 and 101 issues w.r.t. claims 17-21 were also discussed. Attorney Lastova agreed to consider the Examiner's suggestions and file a supplemental amendment after consulting the inventors.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

| | |
|---|--|
| /Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695 | |
|---|--|